

Unit - III

Some theorem on limits:

Theorem: (Cauchy's first limit theorem).

$$\text{If } a_n \rightarrow l \text{ then } \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow l.$$

Proof:

Case (i).

Let $l=0$,

$$\text{Let } b_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since,

$(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$|a_n| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n > m \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

Now, let $n \geq m$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n| &= \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m}{n} \right| + \left| \frac{a_{m+1} + a_{m+2} + \dots + a_n}{n} \right| \\ &= \frac{k}{n} + \frac{|a_{m+1}| + \dots + |a_n|}{n} \quad \text{where } n \geq m \end{aligned}$$

$$k = |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_m|$$

$$< \frac{k}{n} + \left(\frac{n-m}{n} \right) \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{(by (1))}$$

$$< \frac{k}{n} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \left(\text{since } \frac{n-m}{n} < 1 \right) \rightarrow (2)$$

if given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists infinite number of terms of the sequence in $(a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon)$.

Theorem: II

Every bounded sequence has at least one limit point.

Proof:

Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence. Then

There exists a convergent subsequence

(a_{n_k}) of (a_n) converging to l (say) (by theorem I).

Hence l is a limit point of (a_n) .

Note: In general every sequence (a_n)

has at least one limit point (finite or infinite).

Cauchy sequence:

A sequence (a_n) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

Note: In the above definition the

condition $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$ can

a monotonic increasing subsequence (a_{n_k}) of (a_n) .

Theorem 1.9

Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proof:

Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence.

Let (a_{n_k}) be a monotonic subsequence of (a_n) .

Since (a_n) is bounded (a_{n_k}) is also bounded therefore (a_{n_k}) is bounded monotonic sequence and hence converges.

$\therefore (a_{n_k})$ is a convergent subsequence of (a_n) .

LIMIT POINTS:

Definition:

Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers. a is called a limit point or a cluster point of the sequence (a_n) .

Theorem : 8

Every sequence (a_n) has a monotonic sub sequence.

proof:

Case (i):

(a_n) has infinite number of peak points.

Let the peak points be

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

Then $a_{n_1} > a_{n_2} > \dots > a_{n_k} > \dots$

$\therefore (a_{n_k})$ is a monotonic decreasing sub sequence of (a_n) .

Case (ii):

(a_n) has only a finite number of

peak point, or no peak point. choose a

natural n_1 such that there is no

peak point greater than or equal to n_1 .

Since n_1 is not a peak point of (a_n) ,

there exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $a_{n_2} \geq a_{n_1}$.

Again since n_2 is not a peak point,

there exists $n_3 > n_2$ such that $a_{n_3} \geq a_{n_2}$.

Repeating this process we get a

Theorem : B
 Every sequence (a_n) has a monotonic sub sequence.

proof:
Case (i):
 (a_n) has infinite number of peak points.
 Let the peak points be
 $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$
 Then $a_{n_1} > a_{n_2} > \dots > a_{n_k} > \dots$
 $\therefore (a_{n_k})$ is a monotonic decreasing sub sequence of (a_n) .

Case (ii):
 (a_n) has only a finite number of peak point, or no peak point. choose a natural n_1 such that there is no peak point greater than or equal to n_1 .
 Since n_1 is not a peak point of (a_n) , there exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $a_{n_2} \geq a_{n_1}$.
 Again since n_2 is not a peak point, there exists $n_3 > n_2$ such that $a_{n_3} \geq a_{n_2}$.
 Repeating this process we get a

Note :

The above result is true even if we have $l = \infty$ or $-\infty$.

Definition :-

Let (a_n) be a sequence. A natural number m is called a peak point of the sequence (a_n) if $a_n < a_m \forall n > m$.

Examples :

$\Rightarrow$ 1. For the sequence $(1/n)$, every natural number is a peak point and hence the sequence has infinite number of peak points. In general for a strictly monotonic decreasing sequence every natural number is a peak point.

$\Rightarrow$ 2. Consider the sequence $1, 1/2, 1/3, -1, -1, \dots$. Here $1, 2, 3$ are the peak points of the sequence.

$\Rightarrow$ 3. The sequence $1, 2, 3, \dots$ has no peak point. In general a monotonic increasing sequence has no peak point.

$$1/2\epsilon)$$

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{a_{n+1}} \right) / \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) = 0$

$\therefore$ By Case (i), $\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right)^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$.

$\therefore (a_n)^{1/n} \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem: 4

Let (a_n) be any sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = l$. If $l > 1$ then $(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof:

Let k be any real number

such that $1 < k < l$.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = l$, there exists

$m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$l - \epsilon < \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} < l + \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$$

choosing, $\epsilon = l - k$ we obtain $\left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| > k \quad \forall n \geq m$.

Now, fix $n \geq m$ then

$$\left| \frac{a_m}{a_{m+1}} \right| > k$$

$$\left| \frac{a_{m+1}}{a_{m+2}} \right| > k$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any given real number.
Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$$

Now choose $n \geq m$.

Then,

$$l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < \frac{a_{m+1}}{a_m} < l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$

$$l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < \frac{a_{m+2}}{a_{m+1}} < l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$

.....

$$l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} < l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$

Multiplying these inequalities, we obtain

$$\left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^{n-m} < \frac{a_n}{a_m} < \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^{n-m}$$

$$\left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n \left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^{-m} < \frac{a_n}{a_m} < \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^{-m}$$

$$\therefore a_m \frac{\left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n}{\left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^m} < a_n < a_m \frac{\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n}{\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^m}$$

$$\therefore K_1 \left(l - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n < a_n < K_2 \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\right)^n$$

$$\therefore k_1^{1/n} (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) < a_n^{1/n} < k_2^{1/n} (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon)$$

Now,

$$\left(k_1^{1/n} (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) \right) \rightarrow \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad (\text{since } (k_1^{1/n}) \rightarrow 1)$$

$\therefore$ There exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \right) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < k_1^{1/n} (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) < \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \right) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_1$ $\rightarrow (2)$

Similarly, there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \right) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < k_2^{1/n} (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) < \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \right) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_2 \quad \rightarrow (3)$$

$$\text{Let } n_0 = \max \{n_1, n_2\}$$

Then

$$\lambda - \epsilon < k_1^{1/n} (\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) < a_n^{1/n} < k_2^{1/n} (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon) <$$

$$\lambda + \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

(by 1, 2, 3)

$$\therefore \lambda - \epsilon < a_n^{1/n} < \lambda + \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

$$\text{Hence, } (a_n^{1/n}) \rightarrow \lambda$$

Case (ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \infty$$

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -1/n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

clearly $(b_n) \rightarrow 0$ and (a_n) is not

convergent:

Theorem: 2 (Cesaro's theorem).

if $(a_n) \rightarrow a$ and $(b_n) \rightarrow b$ then

$$\left(\frac{a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_n b_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow ab.$$

proof:

$$\text{Let } c_n = \frac{a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n}{n}$$

Now, put $a_n = a + r_n$, so that

$$(r_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{Then } c_n = \frac{(a + r_1) b_1 + \dots + (a + r_n) b_n}{n}$$

$$= \frac{a(b_1 + \dots + b_n)}{n} + \frac{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n}{n}$$

Now, by Cauchy's first limit theorem,

$$\left(\frac{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow b.$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{a(b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n)}{n} \right) \rightarrow ab.$$

Hence it is enough if we prove, that

$$\left(\frac{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, since $(b_n) \rightarrow b$, (b_n) is a bounded sequence.

$\therefore$ There exists a real number $k > 0$ such that $|b_n| \leq k \quad \forall n$.

$$\therefore \left| \frac{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n}{n} \right| \leq k \left| \frac{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{n} \right|.$$

Since $(r_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\left(\frac{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0$ (by theorem (1))

$$\left(\frac{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence the theorem.

Theorem : 3

Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive terms. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$ provided

the limit on the right hand side exists, whether, finite (or) infinite.

Proof:

Case (i)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = l, \text{ finite.}$$

can be written in the following
equivalent form namely $|a_{n+p} - a_n| < \epsilon$ for
all $n \geq n_0$ and for all positive integers p .

Examples:

1. The sequence $(\frac{1}{n})$ is a Cauchy sequence.

proof:

Let $(a_n) = (\frac{1}{n})$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

$$\text{Now, } |a_n - a_m| = \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right|$$

$\therefore$ If we choose n_0 to be any

positive integer greater than $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ we get,

$$|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0.$$

$\therefore (\frac{1}{n})$ is a Cauchy sequence.

2. The sequence $((-1)^n)$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

proof.

$$\text{Let } (a_n) = ((-1)^n)$$

$$\therefore |a_n - a_{n+1}| = 2$$

Note:

The above theorem is true even if $\lambda = \infty$.

Theorem: 5

If the sequence (a_n) and (b_n) converge to 0 and (b_n) is strictly monotonic decreasing

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} \right) \text{ provided the limit}$$

on the right hand side exists whether finite or infinite.

Proof:

Case (i)

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} \right) = \lambda \text{ finite}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$\lambda - \epsilon < \frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} < \lambda + \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$$

Since $b_n - b_{n+1} > 0$, we get

$$(b_n - b_{n+1})(\lambda - \epsilon) < a_n - a_{n+1} < (b_n - b_{n+1})(\lambda + \epsilon) \quad \forall n \geq m$$

Let $n > p \geq m$.

Then,

$$(b_p - b_{p+1})(1 - \epsilon) < a_p - a_{p+1} < (b_p - b_{p+1})(1 + \epsilon)$$

$$(b_{p+1} - b_{p+2})(1 - \epsilon) < a_{p+1} - a_{p+2} < (b_{p+1} - b_{p+2})(1 + \epsilon)$$

.....

$$(b_{n-1} - b_n)(1 - \epsilon) < a_{n-1} - a_n < (b_{n-1} - b_n)(1 + \epsilon)$$

Adding the above inequalities.

we get.

$$(b_p - b_n)(1 - \epsilon) < a_p - a_n < (b_p - b_n)(1 + \epsilon).$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$b_p(1 - \epsilon) < a_p < b_p(1 + \epsilon) \quad [\because (a_n), (b_n) \rightarrow \infty]$$

$$\therefore 1 - \epsilon < \frac{a_p}{b_p} < 1 + \epsilon \quad (\because b_p > 0)$$

$$\therefore \left| \frac{a_p}{b_p} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall p \geq m.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1.$$

Case (ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} \right) = 0.$$

Let $k > 0$ be any real number. Then

there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} > k$

$\forall n \geq m.$

$$\therefore a_n - a_{n+1} > (b_n - b_{n+1}) \quad \forall n \geq m.$$

$$\text{Let } n > p \geq m.$$

writing the inequalities for $n = p, p+1, \dots, n$ and adding we get

$$a_p - a_n > k(b_p - b_n)$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$a_p \geq k b_p$$

$$\therefore \frac{a_p}{b_p} \geq k \quad \forall p \geq m$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n} \right) \text{ diverges to } \infty.$$

Hence the proof.

$$1. \text{ show that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0.$$

Soln:

$$\text{Let } a_n = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow 0. \text{ Hence by Cauchy's}$$

first limit theorem, we get

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (or)}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0.$$

Now, since $\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad \longrightarrow (3)$$

$$\text{Let } n_1 = \max \{m, n_0\}$$

Then $|b_n| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$, (using 2 & 3)

$$\therefore (b_n) \rightarrow 0$$

Case (ii)

$$\text{Let } l \neq 0$$

$$\text{Since } (a_n) \rightarrow l, (a_n - l) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{(a_1 - l) + (a_2 - l) + \dots + (a_n - l)}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{by case (i)})$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n - nl}{n} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - l \right) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \right) \rightarrow l$$

Note :

The converse of the above theorem is not true. For example, consider the sequence $(a_n) = (-1)^n$.

Example: 3

Any sequence (a_n) is a subsequence of itself.

Example: 4

Consider the sequence (a_n) given by $1, 0, 1, 0, \dots$ and (b_n) given by $1, 1, 1, \dots$ is a subsequence of (a_n) . Here (a_n) is not convergent where as the subsequence (b_n) converges to 1. Thus a subsequence of non-convergent sequence can be a convergent sequence.

Note:

A subsequence of a given subsequence (a_{n_k}) of a sequence (a_n) is again a subsequence of (a_n) .

Theorem: 6

If a sequence (a_n) converges to l , then every subsequence (a_{n_k}) of (a_n) also converges to l .

Proof:

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

$$\left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \right| > k \quad \forall n \geq m$$

Multiplying the above inequalities, we get

$$\left| \frac{a_m}{a_n} \right| > k^{n-m}$$

$$\left| \frac{a_m}{a_n} \right| > \frac{k^n}{k^m}$$

$$k^m |a_m| > |a_n| k^n$$

$$\frac{k^m}{k^n} > \left| \frac{a_n}{a_m} \right|$$

$$k^m \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^n > \left| \frac{a_n}{a_m} \right|$$

$$\left| \frac{a_n}{a_m} \right| < k^m \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^n$$

$$|a_n| < |a_m| k^m \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^n$$

$$|a_n| < A \cdot r^n \text{ where } A = k^m |a_m| \text{ is a}$$

$$\text{Constant and } r = \frac{1}{k}$$

$$\text{Now, } k > 1 \Rightarrow 0 < r < 1$$

$$\therefore (r^n) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow 0$$

Since $(a_n) \rightarrow l$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - l| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq m \quad \text{--- (i)}$$

Now, choose $n_{k_0} \geq m$;

Then $k \geq k_0 \Rightarrow n_k \geq n_{k_0}$

(since (n_k) is a monotonic increasing)

$$\Rightarrow n_k \geq m$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n_k} - l| < \epsilon \quad (\text{by (i)})$$

$$\text{Thus } |a_{n_k} - l| < \epsilon \quad \forall k \geq k_0.$$

$$\therefore (a_{n_k}) \rightarrow l.$$

Note : 1

If a subsequence of a sequence converges, then the original sequence converges, but the original sequence need not converge (refer eg. 4)

Note : 2

If a sequence (a_n) has two subsequences converging to two different limits, then (a_n) does not converge.
For example, consider the sequence (a_n)

men

→ s(n)

given by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1+1/n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Here the subsequence $(a_{2n}) \rightarrow 0$ and the subsequence $(a_{2n-1}) \rightarrow 1$. Hence the subsequence (a_n) does not converge.

Theorem: 7

If the subsequences (a_{2n-1}) and (a_{2n}) of a sequence (a_n) converge to the same limit l then (a_n) also converges to l .

Proof:

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Since, $(a_{2n-1}) \rightarrow l$ there exists

$n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_{2n-1} - l| < \epsilon \quad \forall 2n-1 \geq n_1.$$

Similarly, there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

such that

$$|a_{2n} - l| < \epsilon \quad \forall 2n \geq n_2.$$

$$\text{Let, } m = \max \{n_1, n_2\}.$$

clearly, $|a_n - l| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$.

$$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow l.$$

SUBSEQUENCES :

Definition :

Let (a_n) be a sequence. Let (n_k) be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then (a_{n_k}) is called a subsequence of (a_n) .

Example : 1

(a_{2n}) is a subsequence of any sequence (a_n) . Note that in this example the interval between any two terms of the subsequence is the same (i.e.,) $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4, n_3 = 6, \dots, n_k = 2k$.

Example : 2

(a_{n^2}) is a subsequence of any sequence (a_n) . Hence $a_{n_1} = a_1, a_{n_2} = a_4, a_{n_3} = a_9, \dots$. Here the interval between two successive terms of the subsequence goes on increasing as k becomes goes on increasing as k becomes large. Thus the interval between various terms of a subsequence need not be regular.

$$\therefore \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = \frac{n^n}{n} \cdot \frac{(n+1)!}{n^{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \infty$$

$$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad [\text{by theorem (4)}]$$

5. Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} = 0$.

Soln:

Let $a_n = \frac{n!}{n^n}$

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+1)^{n+1}}$$

$$\therefore \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \frac{n!}{n^n} \cdot \frac{(n+1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^n} \cdot \frac{(n+1)^n (n+1)}{(n+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^n}$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

$$= e > 1$$

$$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow 0$$

2. Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1$.

Soln:

Let $a_n = n^{1/n}$

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+1)^{1/(n+1)}}{n^{1/n}}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^{1/(n+1)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1 + 1/n)}{n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{\infty}$$

$\therefore$ By Cauchy's second limit theorem,

we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1$$

4. prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = 0$.

Soln:

$$\text{Let, } a_n = \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

$\therefore$ If $\epsilon < 2$, we cannot find n_0 such that $|a_n - a_{n+1}| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

$\therefore ((-1)^n)$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

Theorem: 16

(Cauchy's general principle of convergence).

A sequence (a_n) in $\mathbb{R}$ is convergent iff it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof:

In theorem 13 we have proved that any convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

conversely, let (a_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

$\therefore (a_n)$ is a bounded sequence (by theorem 4).

$\therefore$ There exists a subsequence.

(a_{n_k}) of (a_n) such that $(a_{n_k}) \rightarrow l$.

$\therefore (a_n) \rightarrow l$ (by theorem 15).

Note:

There are Cauchy sequences in $\mathbb{Q}$

which are not convergent in $\mathbb{Q}$, for

example, the sequence $1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, \dots$

whose terms are successive decimal expressions

of $\sqrt{2}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{Q}$ which is

not convergent in $\mathbb{Q}$.